EXHIBIT 3

Valerie Newman 10/11/2021

	Page 1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN	
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION	
4		
5	ALEXANDRE ANSARI,	
6	Plaintiff,	
7	vs. Civil Action	
8	No. 2:20-cv-10719-SJM-DRJ	
9	HON. STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III	
10	MOISES JIMENEZ, in his	
11	individual capacity, and the	
12	CITY OF DETROIT, a municipal	
13	corporation, Jointly and Severally,	
14	Defendants,	
15	/	
16	5 PAGES 1 TO 265	
17		
18	The Deposition of VALERIE R. NEWMAN,	
19	Taken at 2 Woodward Avenue, 5th Floor,	
20	Detroit, Michigan,	
21	Commencing at 10:11 a.m.,	
22	Monday, October 11, 2021,	
23	Before Dale E. Rose, CSR-0087.	
24		

25

Case 2:20-cv-10719-SJM-DRG ECF No. 78-4, PageID.1963 Filed 10/19/22 Page 3 of 3 Valerie Newman 10/11/2021

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

14

16

17

18

22

D	186
Page	TOO

1 report that identifies Officer Algarrafi as an 2 officer investigating this file and this is the 3 only report that also identifies Lindsey as a 4 witness isn't it logical to assume that anybody 5 who has identified Mr. Algarrafi as a witness 6 also was aware of this report?

> MR. MUELLER: Objection, foundation. MR. O'NEILL: Objection, join in and add that the witness is not here to make assumptions, but you can answer if you can.

- A. I don't know.
- 12 BY MS. BRUENING:
- 13 Q. Let me be more direct. You said that you spoke 14 to defense counsel who represented Ansari in the 15 underlying criminal file, right?
- 16

7

8

9

10

11

- 17 Q. And he tried to tell you that he didn't know 18 about this witness Shawn Lindsey, is that 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Were you aware that he called Officer Algarrafi 22 as a witness at Mr. Ansari's trial?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- 24 Q. Did you ever review any of the trial transcripts 25 in the underlying matter?

Page 188

- 1 specific documents that you know of that 2
 - Mr. Karafa did not receive?
- 3 A. Definitively, no.
- Q. Did you document any interviews that you had with 5 Mr. Karafa?
 - A. We talked to him. I don't know that we would call it an interview. I don't know if it was documented. If it was documented it would be in
- the file. Q. I apologize, I've got to jump all around because Ms. Crittendon was extremely thorough. So you 12 said earlier that the CIU, one of its guiding 13 principles is that you need to have some evidence 14 of -- some new evidence, right, to establish 15 innocence, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 17 Q. In this case what new evidence did you find that 18 led to the conclusion that Ansari was innocent?
 - A. Well, like in most cases it's a combination of what existed originally and then what the new evidence is.

So we started from the position in reading the reports where pretty much everyone described the guy as a big guy, maybe 300 pounds, and that's definitely not Ansari. And then we

Page 187

- 1 A. A long time ago.
- 2 Q. Did you ever review any of the motion hearings or 3 the motions or anything like that, any of the 4 pleadings leading up to the trial?
- 5 A. Probably not much.
- 6 Q. Did you ever obtain a copy of the file that the 7 defense attorney kept?
- A. I'm trying to think, I remember talking with 8 9 Mr. Karafa. I don't remember. I know we talked 10 to him, I'm sure we asked for the file, but I 11 don't recall.
- 12 Q. If you had obtained a copy of that file would 13 that have been digitized and saved as part of the 14 CIU investigative materials?
- 15 A. Not necessarily. Often times we will look 16 through a file and only digitize what we think is 17 relevant and then give it back.
- 18 Q. And that's based on your subjective opinions of 19 what's relevant, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And you don't know in this case if you guys --22 and by that I mean CIU -- obtained a copy of 23 Mr. Karafa's file?
- 24 A. I don't want to say, I don't recall.
- 25 Q. Are you able to identify as we sit here today any

Page 189

- kind of worked backwards to try and figure out what was going on.
- 3 And so I would say the new -- I mean 4 the new evidence in this case is primarily what it appears that officer Jimenez was not
 - forthright about and motivations to pin it on
- 7 somebody else whose was kind of disposable rather 8 than trying to track down -- there was a lot of
- 9 information here that points to something going
- 10 on in terms of Rosalind Barley and her family 11 members being targeted and her relationship with
- 12 Sandoval. 13 Q. Have you read the witness statements that were

created in the underlying criminal investigation?

- 15 A. Not all of them, but yes, I read them.
 - Q. Were you aware that Barley disclosed her relationship with Sandoval and the prior assaults and threats on her life?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 And those were in the possession of the 21 prosecutor, were they not?
 - A. They were.
- 23 Q. So on what basis are you saying that Jimenez 24 withheld this information when it was right there 25 in black and white on the witness statement?